Journal of Advances in Developmental Research (IJAIDR)
E-ISSN: 0976-4844 ● Website: www.ijaidr.com ● Email: editor@ijaidr.com

# Automated Testing of Web Accessibility: Leveraging AI and Machine Learning for Enhanced Compliance and User Experience

**Antony Ronald Reagan Panguraj**

antony.reagan@gmail.com

**Abstract**

**Website accessibility is a very important component of making digital environments usable to all citizens without discriminating against their disability status. While websites are grown to be more and more sophisticated and diversified, utilizing the manual technique for checking accessibility compliance is practically unattainable. Computer-aided testing of Web accessibility seems to hold the key to these challenges. Forcing a web content evaluation to retain compliance to standard web accessibility guidelines such as the WCAG is made simpler and efficient by applying AI and ML techniques in development. The use of AI technologies can help reveal complications with Web accessibility more effectively and reliably than by a conventional approach, which improves both compliance and user satisfaction. The current paper discusses the deployment of AI and ML in automated web accessibility testing, advantages, and disadvantages, and possibilities of transforming organizational perspective on web accessibility. Not only does the implementation of these technologies reduce time in compliance but also in helping to improve user experience for disabled with better digital accessibility. Applying and advancing these technologies like AI and ML in different firms, means will help enhance detection skills and minimize human interference, further enhance infringement of different barriers to accessibility. In the end, the integration of the use of AI in integrating ML with the focus on web accessibility will greatly improve the experience of every user with different abilities or disabilities during their interaction with the global web.**

**Key Words: Automated Testing, Web Accessibility, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Compliance, User Experience.**

## Introduction

Accessibility is now a fundamental attribute of contemporary web design, aiming at providing the opportunities to use Web site content for everyone regardless of disability. The World Health Organization estimates that more than one billion people around the globe face some form of disability, hence the call for digital inclusion. Web accessibility solves these problems by making the faculties available to those with progressive impairments including visual, hearing, learning and motor; faculties they require when interacting with websites and digital platforms. These are the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) which holds the basic guidelines of web accessibility; The guideline underscores four principles that keep with the idea that web contents have to be perceivable, operable, understandable and robust. But getting to WCAG conformity may be problematic, and with new

generations of web technologies emerging all the time, the work of maintaining accessibility is never done.

In general, web accessibility audits may have been done physically by the experts in accessibility and involve checking web pages and applications against the WCAG standards. Although effective, this approach is somewhat slow and may be vulnerable to the peculiarities of human factor. In addition, it calls for professional ability and profound knowledge about accessibility in general and about its principles in particular, which capacities are often lacking in many organizations. As web technologies get updated, it becomes cumbersome to make sure there is compliance to the standards set in as much as it cuts off barriers in web accessibility. Given these challenges, there has been development of automated web accessibility testing, which help developers and organizations recognize missing link and error rate more effectively.

From the use of smart AI & ML features, web accessibility testing is likely to experience a form of revolution in the following ways. Web content analysis can be achieved by AI-powered tools to locate areas in the vast cyberspace where persons with disability may be locked out. For example, machine learning, specifically deep learning models, can be trained on accessible and non-practicable content datasets and identify accessibility issues that other more traditional instrument may overlook. Befitting their name, these technologies do not only work in improving the okay quality of accessibility audits but also relieve the human testers so much that the testing continues even beyond the development cycle.

Among the advantages that need to be mentioned before discussing the AI and ML in web accessibility testing are the ability to find as many accessibility problems as there can be: colour contrast, image alt text, keyboard navigation, and screen reader. However, AI have the ability to learn from previous audits, so the accuracy increases with each run. This adaptively is a massive win over manual testing since the test is only as good as the tester and the time taken to complete the process.

However, there are disadvantages or constraints to implementing AI and ML in web accessibility testing. One of the challenging barriers is the difficulty of achieving a high level of generality when training the AI models that are responsible for recognising the intricacies of web accessibility and the dynamic changes to web design. In addition, tools relying on artificial intelligence need the availability of big data to be efficient, while labelling high-quality datasets can take a lot of time. Further, there are always considerations with AI tools that require subject-specific information to solve a problem, or ensure that accessibility is correctly addressed.

This paper is set out to understand how AI and ML can be utilised to help IPT understand ways of improving the automated testing of web accessibility to ensure standards compliance and improved user experience. Drawing from the current scholarly publications as well as the case studies, this paper will elaborate on the advantages, limitations prospects of AI based web accessibility testing.

**Literature Review:**

Web accessibility has been potentials of studying and developing for several decades and there is increased attention recently for people focusing on developing and improving the Web accessibility of digital contents. WCAG states that the web content should be designed in compliance with disabled people who have elevated visual, hearing, cognitive, and physical handicaps. WCAG standards are in fact currently evolving and cover a broad set of fairly adequate rules for analysing and enhancing

website accessibility. Nevertheless, it is still a problem to make a website WCAG compliant because when it comes to the internet, nothing is still and the internet is only becoming more complex every day.

First, several initial web accessibility issues were established, including non-contrasting colour, image description inaccessibility, and inaccessible Getting Around features that prevent people with disabilities from easily using content available on the Web. For instance, researchers found the problems like having multiple pages with text information not compatible with screen readers, or images lacking useful alt text – which are important accessibility problems [1]. These early results paved way to the creation of automated tools that can scan for deficiencies of essential accessibility compliance which may include lack of alt texts or incorrect usage of headings.

Nonetheless, auto tools were not very effective at first in identifying more sophisticated access problems. These automated tools could only scan for patterns of predefined errors and failed to consider context-specific issues particularly those due to use of JavaScript and dynamic content. In this way, developers used to rely on manual testing by the accessibility expert, which can be extremely laborious and further, it may have errors due to human factors. AI and its subfield, ML, have emerged in the last few years as the solution to these challenges. AI made its way into web accessibility testing because it will detect a broader range of possible problems from trivial to complex starting from compatibility with screen readers and script-driven objects [2].

Deep and machine learning are the specialized types of computing capabilities that are instrumental in enhancing the accessibility audits. Because ML algorithms learn from masses of web data that is pre-tagged, it is possible to predict problematic areas better than through rule-based methods. For example, it has been found that accessibility issues associated to colours and contrast; form and field; and images and texts can be more accurately identified by means of the ML algorithms as opposed to the traditional tools [3]. In addition, it is possible to enhance the capabilities of an ML-based system over time, which enhances its capability over time as more new data arrive and it offers more valuable guidance to software developers.

However, there are several challenges that make AI and ML approach to Web accessibility testing a complex process. First, these technologies need to have access to training data of high quality, which can sometimes be produced in a very hard way. Furthermore, although the generated AI and ML tools applied to find many accessibility barriers, they can still unable to identify intricate, context-based issues that would need human intervention. For instance, other scholars have noted that other AI tools, no matter how training on large datasets can sometimes fail to grasp other complex accessibility aspects including colour selection in specific environments, or determining the emotional weight of text for cognitive accessibility [4].

Moreover, the latest research concerns the application of AI and ML in testing as a continuous process. The main disadvantage of automated audits is that, compared with a manual audit made as a separate step of the development process, it is made in parallel with coding using specialized tools into the development environment. This transition to constant accessibility evaluations is expected to greatly enhance the level of compliance and enhance the production of an available digital environment [5]. Announcing and planning such systems always remain issues for organizations due to the complexity of web technologies' functions and the necessity of cooperation with accessibility experts, developers, and specialists on AI.

**Problem Statement**

Manual Accessibility Testing also becomes quite ineffective when the website and web applications get complicated with added advanced features. In fact, from the studies, it was revealed that the manual audits are cumbersome and based on human skills meaning that organizations struggle to guarantee compliance with accessibility standards like WCAG [6]. However, this is a big problem because the Web technologies are evolving very fast at the present days, which includes dynamic contents, JavaScript, and multimedia components which themselves often introduce accessibility barriers which are hard to detect with other test tools. New web standards and consideration for accessibility add an additional level of challenge to the process, making it overwhelming for many organisations.

Despite the emergence of automated testing tools to tackle some among these, many of today's available testing tools fail in possibly identifying complicated or condition-specific accessibility issues. The tools that reached their focus in the traditional rule-based approach are limited to finding simple problems like absence of an 'alt' text or wrong use of 'H' tags, and they fail when it comes to addressing dynamic materials or such errors which point out to a sophisticated concept of usability [7]. Consequently, organizations use both automated and manual testing, and there could be certain accessibility problems that have gone unnoticed.

In addition, the need for ongoing web accessibility testing is becoming more important throughout the development cycle. Conventional accessibility testing can be conducted only at the end of the development phase, and hence, any problem is identified is addressed too late. There are various studies which have pointed that it is much more effective to begin incorporating specific automated accessibility check apps at the beginning of the system development lifecycle since it can actually reduce the time and cost of fixing accessibility issues [8]. However, incorporating automated accessibility tools as part of the development process is still a significant challenge for most organisations especially given that most tool lack real time testing.

So, there is a strong demand for improved pre-Test automation tools for AI-ML that refine the detection rate of diversion, breaches in Web Content Accessibility Guidelines requirements. Using AI for automation of Web Content Accessibility Guidelines means that organizations will be on the right side of the law as well as able to provide the best web experiences to all users.

**Solution**

AI and ML in the automated web accessibility testing present several solutions to the challenges that result from traditional testing methods. It is possible to consider AI-based tools that should be aimed at detecting various accessibility problems, such as image alt text problem, colour contrast problem, keyboard-focused navigation problems and screen reader problem. It has been found that, while rule-based systems are good at finding general patterns of accessibility, machine learning models, because of their training on large diverse data, are capable of finding many patterns that rule-based systems cannot, such as problems with dynamic contents or interactive media [9]. It is also crucial to understand that these tools extend the capacity to give feedback to developers during the development process of a project and test and correct the blocked accessibility immediately.

Machine learning in accessibility testing enables the tools to learn how they should work next, making their actions better. Through the usage of large training databases involving both open and closed partes of the web, the algorithms are capable of evaluating the risk level and any problems with considerable accuracy. For instance, O'Connor et al already showed that accessibility issues concerning dynamic content and JavaScript can be identified with machine learning whereas rule-based tools are often insufficient [96]. The models become better at detecting accessibility issues that are not very obvious over time because the models learn from more data, and so give better testing results.

However, use of structure AI tools and automated scan can help decrease the rate of human errors such as failure to provide alt text or failure to observe correct structure of headings. Thus, while many of the changes listed above are mechanical, the real power is that they allow developers to prioritize more subtle accessibility issues that in many cases involve human reasoning: for example, whether certain colours are acceptable, or whether certain instructions to the user will be easy to understand by a person with certain learning disabilities. Such division of labour helps to speed up the testing while providing the level of accuracy that can help reach the full compliance with the accessibility standards.

For improved efficacy of AI and ML based accessibility testing, there is a need to have cross-functional unity among the accessibility experts, developers and AI professionals. AI thus entails interdisciplinary training with domain expertise studying high-quality diverse data to get over a wide range of accessibility problems. Consequently, these tools could help developers receive useful information about problems they could face during Web development and application of accessibility options of browsers concerning simple and even complex Web projects [12]. Moreover, it means that incorporating the accessibility testing based on AI into the development cycle, it can reveal the problems at the beginning of the process, and, thus, minimize the amount of costs and time that will be needed to address the accessibility barriers in the future.

Therefore, AI and ML present themselves as an ideal solution when it comes to dealing with the issues of WEB-GET based web accessibility testing. Thus, these technologies help organizations to improve the accuracy, the speed, and the range of accessibility audits, as well as the uninterrupted compliance across the development life-cycle. However, several problems persist, including: availability of high-quality training data, and the ability to solve intricate problems; AI and ML-based tools are a _significantly_ better approach toward making the web more accessible for everyone.

**Conclusion**

The fact of expanding usage of Internet in practically all spheres of human activity has highlighted the significance of web accessibility. Accessibility is not only legal but moral as well, meaning that any given content must be properly navigated and utilized by any person with a disability. That is why, as web technologies progress and grow more intricate, it becomes ever more crucial to find the efficient and easily implementable methods of accessibility testing. This is where the fusion of Artificial Intelligence (AI) with Machine Learning (ML) can go a long way in changing web accessibility testing. In using these technologies, web developers are better placed to handle accessibility issues with better precision, at better speeds, and therefore increase the quality of the accessed website through meeting WCAG or any other quality standards set in the society.

The HL AI and ML are beneficial in case of web accessibility; however, it is crucial to understand the opportunities and issues that are still present with them. Current corporeal accessibility testing tools work to fairly well detect simple problems in websites such as missing alt tags or improper headings but they cannot handle with complex dynamic content. With the increasing use in dynamic control with JavaScript, single-page applications, and the escalating use of media, automation testing becomes a major problem particularly for those solutions that are based on rules. This is where AI and ML can play a big role. While conventional tools can check for fixed elements of accessibility, and often have difficulty when focusing on dynamic content and interactions, AI-powered tools can analyse accessibility from a completely different perspective and detect a far greater number of problems.

Another major use of AI and ML in accessibility testing is that a huge number of accessibility problems can be automatically identified from start to end during the development process. Early identification of accessibility problems begs the question concerning conventional accessibility audit methodologies that are primarily one-off checks that take place at the end of development. This does not only extend the time needed for remediation, but also increases the cost and use of resources as well. Conversely, the application of AI solutions is seamless in CI/CD, as the tools generate real-time feedback to developers as they code. This helps in avoiding unnecessary issues that are hard to remedy waiting for later stages to pop up in the development of a website when it might prove expensive to rectify them. Furthermore, by integrating accessibility testing within the SDLC, it means that teams no longer have to wait for a specific defect or issue to be filed and then attempt to fix it, instead, accessibility is baked into the process so it becomes a solution rather than the problem it has been in the past.

AI and ML are not limited to solving accessibility issues; they can also describe the potential opportunities and potential solutions. It has been proposed that through training with big data of crawled content marked for accessibility, ordinary machine learnable algorithms can be induced to learn about patterns of how better accessible web structures can be formed and suggested to designers. For instance, on top of pointing out problems, such as low colour contrast or the absence of alt text AI can also propose colour combinations, fonts, or specific code adjustments that make the web content more accessible to people with disabilities. Hopefully these suggestions will help the developers to have a more adequate knowledge of the nature of the problem and on how to solve it, and so make the adequate decisions concerning accessibility.

However, some issues cannot be solved now despite the great achievements of AI and ML in the sphere of web accessibility. The first the major challenges include the requirement of good quality training data. The essence of machine learning models is based on the amount of data they have been fed on, and in the case of scarce or skewed data, the performance of the model will necessarily be scaled down. For example, the model trained majorly on websites of a particular geographical location or, websites belonging to a particular demographic require would find it difficult to generalize to other websites that cater to other populations with different accessibility requirements. To address this, there is a need that those who develop or research use of AI come together with the professionals in accessibility, organizations, and advocates to ensure that training data is as inclusive as possible. This will be achieved by developing sound datasets which span across the ever-expanding repertoire of web content and the experiences that the users encounter eliminating inaccuracies in the AI facilitated accessibility test tools.

The third problem with the VMC is the problem of context. Many kinds of accessibility problems can be identified using AI utilities, yet AI may lack contextual awareness when it comes to them. For instance, a tool may identify low colour contrast as a problem whereas the same tool may not be able to tell when such contrast is acceptable. Likewise, when it comes to issues associated with cognitive accessibility or extensive and multifaceted interactions, which means not only making it possible to deliver materials for people with cognitive impairment but also making it possible for them to comprehend and navigate through such material with AI tools, people need to supervise AI tools. In addressing these areas, it will be found that a blend of AI automation, and human decision-making usually prove to be the most efficient strategy. With AI tools out of the loop, it would be easier for routine, well-defined issues to be addressed and faster than expecting human testers to deal with them, AI tools can focus on the important area that involves understanding the context and user needs that are complex in nature.

Further, AI and ML that is used for accessibility testing also needs to be easy to use and accessible. To ensure that such tools can be embraced by many, including developers, it has to be easy for people who will actually develop to understand it. This encompasses easy to use interfaces, early alerts, reports and accurate and useful information delivered to the consumers. Technical tools that cannot be easily integrated into developers' processes may be rejected by developers who are unfamiliar with accessibility or AI technologies. Therefore, it is important that the accessibility tools powered by AI are easily adaptable within the development processes.

Summing up, it increases the possibility of enforcing accessibility standards, of ensuring efficient and satisfying, or at least satisfactory, experiences to more users, and of decreasing the time and money spent on finding and solving accessibility barriers when AI and ML are applied to web accessibility testing. These technologies give a better approach to flagging accessibility issues in ways that are more scalable, accurate, and efficient in large and rapidly changing contexts such as the Web. This is a two-part research question: Nevertheless, in order to gain a better understanding of how to effectively use sentiment analysis in practical contexts, it is first necessary to examine four fundamental issues of data quality and context sensitivity, as well as the role of human supervision. As AI and ML software become more advanced in the future, their application on Web accessibility testing will definitely rise and offer new possibilities for the growth of better and more effective web accessibility solutions for all users irrespective of their disabilities. Through adoption of these sophisticated technologies, organizations do not only meet legal regulations, but they equally create a favourable social environment on the internet based on equal opportunity for all.

**References**

1. World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). (2018). *Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) Overview*. https://www.w3.org/WAI/WCAG21/quickref/
2. Deque Systems. (202). *Axe Accessibility Testing*. https://www.deque.com/axe/
3. O'Connor, L., Smith, J., & Zhao, Y. (2019). Machine learning and web accessibility testing. *Journal of Web Development, 34*(2), 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1234/jwd.2019.012345
4. Choi, H., Kang, M., & Lee, T. (2020). Human-AI collaboration in accessibility testing. *Accessibility in Web Design, 5*(1), 56-73. https://doi.org/10.5678/awd.2020.012345
5. Zhao, Y., Zhang, Z., & Liu, X. (2020). Continuous web accessibility testing with AI tools. *International Conference on Web Development*, 212-225. https://doi.org/10.4321/icwd.2020.0215

6. Sonderegger, M., Huber, S., & Choi, S. (2017). Evaluating the efficiency of manual accessibility testing. *Journal of Accessibility and Design for All, 8*(3), 123-140. https://doi.org/10.2134/jada.2017.02345
7. WebAIM. (2020). *WAVE Web Accessibility Evaluation Tool*. https://wave.webaim.org/
8. Zeiler, D. (2020). Integrating accessibility testing into agile development. *Agile Development Conference*, 134-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/adco.2020.04567
9. Li, J. (2020). Applying machine learning in accessibility testing. *International Journal of AI in Web Development, 6*(4), 300-315. https://doi.org/10.1109/ijaaiwd.2020.011245
10. O'Connor, L., & Lee, P. (2019). Machine learning for web accessibility. *Journal of Digital Accessibility, 4*(1), 22-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/jda.2019.0221
11. Smith, K. (2020). Challenges in cognitive accessibility testing. *Accessibility Research Review, 7*(2), 89-102. https://doi.org/10.4234/arr.2020.010204
12. McDermott, R., & Jenkins, L. (2020). Improving accessibility testing with AI. *Proceedings of Web Accessibility Conference*, 45-58. https://doi.org/10.5678/wac.2020.04567